STATE OF NEW YORK

FAMILY COURT : COUNTY OF NIAGARA

PETER MARK DIARBAKERLY,

Petitioner,

-against-

Docket Nos. V-03057-18

V-03058-18

V-03388-17 V-03802-17

KATIE LYNN RIFORD,

Respondent.

KATIE LYNN RIFORD,

Petitioner,

-against-

Docket Nos. V-00103-18

V000592-18

PETER MARK DIARBAKERLY,

Respondent.

Niagara County Courthouse 175 Hawley Street Lockport, New York 14094 November 16, 2018

Before:

HONORABLE ERIN P. DELABIO, Family Court Judge

Appearances:

RANDY S. MARGULIS, ESQ.,
Appearing for Peter Mark Diarbakerly

ANTHONY J. CERVI, ESQ.,
Appearing for Katie Lynn Riford

Appearing for Natie Lynn Kiloru

JASON J. CAFARELLA, ESQ.,
Appearing as Attorney for Child

Present:

PETER MARK DIARBAKERLY, Petitioner/Respondent

KATIE LYNN RIFORD, Petitioner/Respondent

ASHLEY KLEINSCHMIDT, NYACR, NYRCR, Official Court Reporter

EXAMINATIONS	
WITNESS	PAGE NUMBER
JEANNE KRATT	
DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MARGULIS	33

1 (Proceedings commenced at 2:09 p.m.) 2 THE COURT: This is the matter of Peter 3 Diarbakerly against Katie Riford. In the courtroom I have Randy Margulis, who is the attorney for Mr. Diarbakerly. 4 5 have Anthony Cervi in the courtroom, attorney for Katie Riford. I have Jason Cafarella in the courtroom, Attorney for 6 7 Children Olivia and Mason, and we are here to start our 8 fact-finding hearing. 9 I do know that we have a motion that was filed 10 by Mr. Margulis on November 8th. I understand that there was 11 a response then filed by Mr. Cervi yesterday, and I do -- have 12 received -- I have received the communication by Mr. Margulis 13 that he does not want the answer by Mr. Cervi to be 14 considered, based on the fact it was late service and not 15 appropriate service; am I correct? MR. MARGULIS: Your Honor, you took the words 16 17 right out of my mouth. 18 MR. CERVI: At this point he would say late We did --19 service. 20 You served him personally, but THE COURT: 21 yesterday? 2.2 Yes, Your Honor, and if need be, MR. CERVI: 23 I'll address that. 24 THE COURT: Okay. I quess, let me just be 25 realistic about it. Either you're going to give him time to

answer or -- either you get your time to respond to his answer, so we don't do anything with it today -- the motion today, which I know you want something done with the motion today, because next time you come back here will be in about 10 days.

MR. MARGULIS: So there's some timeliness issues, with regard to the relief that we're seeking.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. MARGULIS: I won't -- I know you don't want to take a lot of time on this. With respect to the issuance of the subpoenas, Mr. Cervi issued a subpoena to Verizon seeking phone records pertaining to my client, including text messages, things like that, as well as his own client's. So my motion seeks to quash that portion of the subpoena that relates to my client. I believe that time is of the essence to be heard on that issue, because if we wait to come back in whatever -- November 26, the subpoena might have already been acted upon and complied with by Verizon, and Counsel and his client will be sitting on records that I don't want them to have.

THE COURT: So either you're waiving his insufficient time of serving you, so that I can hear this motion, and you let me rule on it, or we come back -- I don't know --

MR. MARGULIS: So --

1	THE COURT: next week, to finish up the
2	motion date so you have proper time to respond to his
3	response.
4	MR. MARGULIS: Okay. So
5	THE COURT: Up to you.
6	MR. MARGULIS: just so I'm clear, for
7	purposes of the record.
8	THE COURT: Yes.
9	MR. MARGULIS: My application to deny his late
10	service, the remedy would be, you would be adjourning the
11	motion to give me time to rather than unless I consent
12	otherwise, rather than proceeding on the motion today, absent
13	my written response?
14	THE COURT: Correct. So if you want to respond
15	orally today, if you feel confident enough that that can be
16	handled today by oral response, then you're consenting to
17	waiving any kind of objection to his late service; or I bring
18	you back next week where you do respond in writing to his
19	answer; or you just trust that I get what's going on here and
20	I can answer this motion short of your response to his.
21	MR. MARGULIS: I'll take your number three, Your
22	Honor.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	MR. MARGULIS: I trust that the Court is
25	aware probably well aware by now of the nature and

circumstances of what's been going on, so on that basis -- and 1 2 mainly, in the interest of the timeliness issues here, I will 3 waive -- unless my client tells me he doesn't consent to that, but I believe he's agreeable. I will waive that. 4 5 Could I just make one small request? To the extent -- could we go ahead and let you rule on the motion; 6 7 but in the event that I might have to -- just to protect a record here, after the fact, can I have a little time to 8 9 submit a written response -- or reply, rather, should I deem 10 it necessary, or do you prefer not to? 11 THE COURT: If you don't like my answer, is what 12 you're saying? 13 MR. MARGULIS: No. No. No. I see what you're saying. I see what you're saying. 14 If you don't like how I'm ruling on 15 THE COURT: this? 16 17 MR. MARGULIS: Just to protect the record. 18 THE COURT: I'm sorry. 19 So I can submit a written MR. MARGULIS: 20 response, saying, we object and deny the allegations. It 21 wouldn't be a whole 50-page affidavit. It would be, like, four sentences, probably, to protect the record in the event 2.2 23 we go to Rochester, so they see there's a written denial by my 24 client. 25 THE COURT: Correct. I understand.

1 MR. MARGULIS: That's basically it. 2 THE COURT: So subsequent to my ruling, just so 3 that you have paperwork filed with the Court in the file, yes. I don't have a problem with you subsequently replying to it --4 5 MR. MARGULIS: Okay. THE COURT: -- in terms of your objections or 6 7 whatever. 8 MR. MARGULIS: Yeah. 9 THE COURT: Pretty much putting in writing what 10 you feel about his response. 11 MR. MARGULIS: And it would be, literally, to 12 have something in writing saying, deny, deny, 13 THE COURT: That's fine. 14 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. MARGULIS: 15 THE COURT: With respect to the motion, did you 16 want me to rule on it or -- I want you to be able to rest on 17 I have a 40-page response. I have a 40-page your papers. motion, alongside of other motions from the two of you that 18 19 are more than 20-something pages long each, again. taken a lot of opportunity in these motions to give me what is 20 21 going to be testified to in this hearing, and it's multiple things and consistent things I've been hearing over and over 2.2 23 and over again. The only thing new to the pages are what 24 happened recently, in the last couple weeks.

MR. MARGULIS: Correct.

The November 4th and 3rd incident 1 THE COURT: 2 that happened at the Cheektowaga Police Department. 3 MR. MARGULIS: Sure. That's the only newness to it. 4 THE COURT: Thev 5 are --MR. MARGULIS: 6 Sure. 7 -- new facts, not new issues. THE COURT: These 8 parties don't get along. They can't agree on exchanges. 9 can't agree on timeframes. So with respect to these motions, 10 nothing has changed. Postures have not changed. 11 facts and circumstances that keep adding and compiling on as 12 to what the original issues have been with these parties. 13 Can I rule on this or --14 MR. MARGULIS: So Your Honor, I would have no 15 objection to the Court -- I'll rest on my papers. I have no 16 objection to the Court ruling on the basis of the submissions. 17 I don't want to steal the spotlight, though. I want to make sure if there's anything Mr. Cafarella wants to inject before 18 19 you render a decision, I want to give him an opportunity. 20 Which means Mr. Cervi should have THE COURT: 21 something to say. 2.2 Go ahead, Mr. Cervi. 23 MR. CERVI: Thanks, Your Honor. Very briefly, I 24 rest on my papers, as well, Judge. The only thing I would

like to say is, in my papers, Judge, I recommended -- and I

1 know my client is fully on board with -- monitored exchanges.

THE COURT: I know.

2.2

MR. CERVI: I've never seen a case that is screaming more for being monitored. I asked the respondent and received no response. I beg the Court, if you would, please order the monitored exchange. And my client does not have a job. If it could be, obviously, at the expense of the -- the petitioner, Judge, that's all I would ask. I think it would cure a lot of these problems.

THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Cafarella, anything you want to speak to on any of the motions?

MR. CAFARELLA: Judge, with regards to the motion filed by Mr. Margulis, I have no objection to the relief requested in items one and two of the motion. It makes sense to set times.

As for three, I don't have a position.

As for four, Judge, I guess I don't have a -- an objection, if a judicial subpoena was granted with regards to the Cheektowaga Police Department.

THE COURT: Let me rule on this, quickly, so we can get started. I think what needs to be happening is you guys really need to get this hearing started, and hopefully bring it to completion as quickly as possible. These parties have been here for well over a year now. It's been a 2017 filing on some of these petitions, except for the newly filed

petition, so --1 2 With respect to referring to the notice of motion by Mr. Margulis, on page 1, paragraph 1, prohibiting 3 members of the respondent's family of being at exchanges, what 4 5 I will agree upon is at the exchanges, father can have one of 6 his parents, and mother can have one of her parents. 7 brothers, no siblings. I don't even know why siblings would 8 have been at that exchange, except for the fact I understand that, probably, Mr. Diarbakerly, I'm going to hear his sister 9 came in to also have visitation. There's no reason for her to 10 11 be at the exchange, as well. 12 I am going to establish specific times, so we're 13 on this other -- what is it, every other weekend that father 14 comes in from Massachusetts to do his visitation? MR. MARGULIS: Well, the order -- your order 15 16 says alternate weekends. 17 THE COURT: Right. MR. MARGULIS: Right now, the way it's ordered, 18 19 is six hours each day. 20 Okay. So we're going to do 11:00 to THE COURT: 21 5:00 every weekend -- every -- every other Saturday, every other Sunday, so alternate weekends. 2.2 23 MR. MARGULIS: Um --24 THE COURT: So Saturday 11:00 to 5:00, Sunday 25 11:00 to 5:00.

1	MR. CAFARELLA: Beginning when, Judge?
2	THE COURT: Beginning
3	MR. CERVI: Tomorrow.
4	MR. MARGULIS: Tomorrow is the next scheduled
5	access, because it's alternate weekends, according to your
6	order.
7	THE COURT: Yeah.
8	MR. MARGULIS: Last access was two weekends ago,
9	so we are expecting access
10	THE COURT: This weekend.
11	MR. MARGULIS: to occur tomorrow, from 11:00
12	to 5:00, and Sunday from 11:00 to 5:00.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	MR. MARGULIS: Tomorrow, being November 17th.
15	THE COURT: All right. Question. I saw in your
16	papers there is a particular hotel that your client
17	consistently uses; is that correct?
18	MR. MARGULIS: Yes.
19	PETER MARK DIARBAKERLY: Yes. Unless
20	it's unless it's booked.
21	THE COURT: What hotel is it?
22	MR. MARGULIS: What's the name? It's the one by
23	UB?
24	PETER MARK DIARBAKERLY: Staybridge Inn.
25	THE COURT: Staybridge Inn.

Mr. Cervi, how close does your client live to 1 2 There was a Niagara Falls address given. She -- you 3 deny -- she doesn't live in the Falls; she lives in, what, Wheatfield. 4 5 MR. CERVI: Yes, she does, Judge. I'm sorry, what was the location? 6 7 It's a Staybridge, by the UB campus. THE COURT: 8 MR. CERVI: I don't think my client cares, 9 either way. Are you familiar with the Staybridge by UB? 10 we know the address, we can make it happen. 11 THE COURT: We'll do exchanges at -- let's do 12 exchanges at the Staybridge, until we're done with trial. 13 We're not going to go to all these different places, and 14 they're not going to be left for the parties to agree and arrange, because they can't agree and arrange anymore. 15 16 can't micromanage their lives every day. I'm not with them 17 every day. We're going to do the exchanges at the Staybridge. 18 With respect to the subpoena on the Verizon 19 records, here is my question: Mr. Cervi, with respect to you being able to just subpoena your own client's records, isn't 20 21 that sufficient enough? Well, let me start off by saying this -- let me back up. 2.2 23 I would be willing to do -- I am willing to not 24 quash the full subpoena, but to limit the subpoena to only 25 records, text messaging, and/or phone calls, between the

parties only.

My thought would be that if I'm going to limit it to that, why isn't it sufficient enough for you to just get your own client's records; that would show the transactions between her and the father. I don't know what would be on the father's phone that wouldn't be on the mother's phone, except for to show a difference of deleting a message or something of that nature.

MR. CERVI: Your Honor, there is one additional issue. There's text messages between the prior supervisor and the father. We would suggest -- and I have proof already, Judge, the supervisor had mistakenly text messaged my client a message that was meant for Mr. Diarbakerly. In that message she calls my client Miss Miserable. With that, Judge, I think it seriously calls into question the -- it was supposed to be a neutral supervisor.

THE COURT: Let me -- let me -- let me -- and that gives me the opportunity to clarify something on the record, as well. One, that is not a supervisor that was selected by the Court, nor ordered by the Court. That was an agreement between the parties, through multiple and consistent pretrials.

What Mr. Margulis did -- to move this matter along and to show good faith -- he proposed Jeanne Kratt as a monitored supervisor, to at least do some supervising and to

1 be able to do some reporting to the Court. That was agreed 2 to -- upon, by the parties and the lawyers. I did an order then memorializing what the parties had consented and agreed 3 to. Of course, as being the Court, I would like to have an 5 independent social worker. That was a selection by 6 Mr. Margulis. It was a selection by his client, and they both 7 agreed to -- well, he agreed to pay for her to be there to 8 observe. 9 The characterization in your papers that this was a Court-ordered -- I did not Court order it. 10 Court-ordered it in the sense that I memorialized an agreement 11 12 between the parties. 13 MR. CERVI: Understood. 14 THE COURT: That was an understanding between 15 the parties and the lawyers. 16 MR. CERVI: Okay. 17 THE COURT: It was supposed to act as an 18 independent report, to me, to help move this case along. 19 did not select her. Okay? Therefore, you're here to live with that. 20 21 MR. CERVI: No question. 2.2 THE COURT: What you need to do -- I see it as, 23 in testimony, or whenever Ms. Kratt is here testifying --24 which I assume she would be -- that would be your opportunity 25 to, at least, cross-examine her and show if she had a bias or

not.

2.2

I don't feel as though whatever text messaging that went on between her and Mr. Diarbakerly has any -- I don't feel they are important enough to be here in this Court. What she felt about Ms. -- what she thinks about Ms. Riford or whatever, I don't find that to be important enough, as opposed to her as a social worker here reporting to me what she's supervised and observed as a father interacting with his children. Okay?

MR. CERVI: Fair enough, Judge. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. With respect to the cell phone records, I'm not going to quash the full subpoena, but I will limit that to only conversations between -- and I think what you need to do is identify the exact cell phone numbers that you want to see the records from. I think Verizon can minimize it to that, only; I'm hoping.

I don't know if you want to put on the record -- someone has to get me an -- on the record, stating what it is that I'm limiting here, so you can get it to Verizon. I'm sure you will want to do that, Mr. Margulis.

MR. MARGULIS: Sure.

THE COURT: So I don't know, do we want to put on the record, what are the specific cell phone numbers we're speaking of that Verizon releases information to, only?

MR. CERVI: I have it in my subpoena, Judge.

1	The phone numbers, I believe, are 617-721-1377 is
2	Mr. Diarbakerly's, and the phone number for my client was
3	781-296-9654.
4	THE COURT: Okay. So it's going to be
5	communication some text messages, solely between the
6	parties.
7	I'm not going to sign a judicial subpoena duces
8	tecum, because I don't think I need one with the Cheektowaga
9	Police Department. That should be public record. I'm not
10	going to I guess if the question comes up, if Mr. Margulis
11	wants to quash that for any other reason, I'm that's
12	denied, because that's public record.
13	MR. MARGULIS: Judge, I sorry to interrupt.
14	THE COURT: Yeah.
15	MR. MARGULIS: May I?
16	THE COURT: Yeah.
17	MR. MARGULIS: I'm not seeking to quash it.
18	THE COURT: You want
19	MR. MARGULIS: I want it.
20	THE COURT: You want it? I'm sorry. Excuse me.
21	MR. MARGULIS: It's my understanding, because
22	they're a governmental agency, they require it be a judicial
23	subpoena.
24	THE COURT: No.
25	MR. MARGULIS: Even the subpoena

1	MR. CERVI: Judge, I
2	MR. MARGULIS: gets served on the town.
3	It
4	THE COURT: What is the subpoena for, records
5	for what happened, or the video?
6	MR. MARGULIS: Both.
7	MR. CERVI: Both. And Your Honor, I hate to
8	agree with Mr. Margulis, but that he's right, but it is a
9	municipality. It is considered a municipality.
10	THE COURT: Can I highlight that on the record,
11	that you agreed on that?
12	MR. MARGULIS: Have you got the date and time?
13	THE COURT: Okay. No. I don't have a problem
14	with that. It's just becoming, I think, more of the
15	responsibility of the Court to subpoena stuff, and everybody
16	else making that a problem. It's to the point you can't get
17	school records without judicial subpoenas.
18	MR. MARGULIS: I can't agree more on that with
19	you, Judge.
20	THE COURT: As long as you don't disagree with
21	that, I will sign that. Mr. Margulis, I don't know if you
22	submitted it with your papers.
23	MR. MARGULIS: I think I have. If I can look
24	through my pile, I might have
25	THE COURT: I have it. It's here. I'm sorry.

It's --1 2 MR. CERVI: Judge, I would ask, as well -- I 3 join in in his request, because I think there's information that will be garnered from those records that will be 4 5 important for this trial. 6 Your Honor, I'd ask that Officer Scapillato be 7 included in that subpoena. He was present during the exchange 8 and was a witness. 9 THE COURT: Okay. I don't have a problem with 10 that. 11 MR. CERVI: Thank you. 12 THE COURT: I have a problem with the fact that 13 this Court now has to, really, interfere with a lot of these 14 subpoenas. It was just everybody trying to CYA themselves. 15 Anyways, that's my little commentary. I will sign that, then, 16 as well. 17 MR. MARGULIS: All right. Then I'll have to 18 change the subpoena, then. I'll send it to you. 19 With respect to the monitored THE COURT: Okay. 20 exchange, at this point in time, Mr. Cervi, I'm just denying 21 the motion based on reality, which is, you get into a monitored exchange program, one, you -- the parties are driven 2.2 23 to work within their timeframes; two, I don't even know what

the waitlist is; and three, I think these parties have to

start moving forward with their relationship.

24

As much as they completely disagree with how life is, and they just don't care for each other, they have been in a, close to over nine-year relationship, that has been distant for a very long period of time. They don't live near each other. They only have to exchange -- see each other or deal with each other every other weekend for the exchange of the children, and I feel that would be such an unnecessary delay, and right now, would interfere with visitation. And right now I feel as though visitation has been going at a good pace and smoothly enough that I just don't want to get into a monitored program at this point in time.

2.2

I think the parties need to work this out. They need to have just one of their parents available, and that's it. And to be done in the Staybridge parking lot in front of the main doors, so if any altercation is, again, happening, hopefully there's video and/or cameras there, if really that needed to be brought up as an issue, but the parties have to start being able to exchange these children without incident.

MR. MARGULIS: Can I ask you for one brief directive, Your Honor?

THE COURT: What directive would that be?

MR. MARGULIS: As you know, the Court ordered that there may come occasions during which the parties meet up during the access, so the -- the baby can be breastfed.

THE COURT: Right. Stop right there. With the

breastfeeding -- 11:00 to 5:00, how many times does the child 1 2 need to breastfeed in a six-hour period? I know this should be -- I -- at this point in time in the child's life -- who is 3 now over a year old -- there should be some stability in terms 4 5 of a -- you know, a feeding schedule, I would think. 6 remember reading in these papers, multiple times, it being 7 told to me that the child is on solid foods, as well. 8 MR. MARGULIS: Yes. That's my understanding. 9 THE COURT: So that should extend the time period of the necessity of breastfeeding in a six-hour period, 10 11 so --12 MR. CERVI: There's no question it extends the 13 time, Judge, but the child is breastfed on demand. It's -- I 14 mean, it's a legitimate --15 THE COURT: It is legitimate. I never 16 questioned that. 17 MR. CERVI: Judge, just so you know, I mean, 18 since birth, this has been taking place. It's not something 19 that was created so as to screw with his access. That being 20 said, the child becomes ornery when the child is not eating 21 during a timeframe. 2.2 My client has said -- and you'll see, this is 23 going to come out in the evidence, as long as the child is

happy, that's fine. But if the child needs to be fed, bring

the child; you know, we need to exchange. So it's -- it's

24

been going about three hours. I think there's times where 1 2 they've gone four hours, but --In any event, my client, too, Judge, she goes 3 wherever they ask her to go. She's not, like, we'll meet 4 5 Where are you? I'll come pick up the child. She's not trying to make things difficult as it pertains to the access, 6 7 so I -- I think that we're asking, Judge, that that please 8 stay in the Court's order. My client is not looking to abuse 9 it; just trying to make sure the child is fed and 10 it's -- again, it's determined by the child. 11 MR. MARGULIS: I wasn't going to ask for that, 12 Judge. 13 THE COURT: I'm sorry. 14 MR. MARGULIS: I'm not asking you to take that 15 away. What I was going to ask is -- what happens is, when they go -- let's say -- it's 11:00 to 5:00. Let's say it's 16 17 3:00, and they meet up again to exchange the baby for 18 breastfeeding. My understanding is, what happens is, 19 everybody gets out of their cars. 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 MR. MARGULIS: Olivia gets out of the car and runs to mommy or grandma, and then, you know -- and you've 22 23 dealt with many cases --24 THE COURT: I know. 25 MR. MARGULIS: -- where there's a, quote,

unquote, interruption in the continuation of access. I would ask that Olivia not be expected to get out of the car and go say hi to mom and hi to grandma. It's dad's time, even though he's facilitating the breastfeeding.

THE COURT: Let me ask this -- just trying to get through this -- the Staybridge, does it have a pool? Is there --

PETER MARK DIARBAKERLY: Yeah.

THE COURT: Is there the ability to stay there?

PETER MARK DIARBAKERLY: Yes.

about what you should be doing during your access. I know you should be able to take your children places to do things. My thoughts are this: if at a time -- like, what you should be doing is -- what I'm thinking is that back and forth only at the Staybridge, so we're not -- so I'm not hearing, in motions, that there's this big chase and this runaround, and I'm here, and now I'm going to go here. Let's just bring this back to basics. It's just exponentially gotten out of control.

MR. MARGULIS: Respectfully, Judge, he shouldn't be a prisoner in the Staybridge hotel.

THE COURT: You're absolutely right, but until we get through this trial, Mr. Margulis, everybody wants me to micromanage their life, but then, when I start micromanaging

their lives, they don't want me to. 1 2 I know you don't want me to hold your client prisoner to being at a specific place during access, to 3 disadvantage him; however, I'm trying to cut down on the 4 5 craziness. MR. MARGULIS: But no --6 7 To me, if I can minimize where THE COURT: 8 they're going to be at and what they're doing, it will minimize 40-page paper motions, that have been multiple 9 10 motions since the beginning of these proceedings. 11 MR. MARGULIS: But nobody is -- nobody is 12 disputing right now where they meet to exchange the baby. 13 THE COURT: Well, there was something in Mr. Cervi's papers about the big runaround and the big chase. 14 MR. MARGULIS: Well --15 16 MR. CERVI: And that was one other thing I 17 wanted to address, Judge, and I don't -- I understand private 18 investigators are utilized in cases. That's not an issue. 19 I'd ask that they direct their private investigators -- they're chasing my client. That's why her 20 21 brother had to come. He was studying at the time. He had to 2.2 come and --23 THE COURT: Well, I'm not sure about that kind 24 of accusation, but however -- however, I'm trying to minimize,

again, the craziness, until we get done with this trial.

1 My thoughts are this: Mr. Margulis, it's only a 2 couple more weekends until we're done with this trial, unless 3 you get more dates after this trial. MR. MARGULIS: Not according to him. 4 5 My thoughts are this: Can't THE COURT: 6 Mr. Diarbakerly stay somewhere around the hotel so 7 that -- look, the exchange can happen consistently at the 8 hotel, so we're not questioning, where are we picking up the 9 We're not questioning on where to go back and forth. MR. MARGULIS: I hate --10 11 THE COURT: And by the way, I find hotels to be 12 soothing and fun places to be at, so the child could be 13 swimming or doing something if there's a pool. 14 MR. MARGULIS: I'm not going to even go there, 15 because that's going to invite all other kinds of issues. 16 just respectfully have to disagree with it. I'm not going to 17 give you a hard time, though. I just think it's unfair to my 18 client. 19 THE COURT: I get it. MR. MARGULIS: It's significantly unfair to my 20 21 client --2.2 THE COURT: Then, on the same token, you are 23 complaining --24 MR. MARGULIS: -- but --25 THE COURT: -- that when we get into the parking lot and Mason has to go with mom, Olivia is getting out of the car -- people are getting out of the car, and it's interfering with your client's visitation.

MR. MARGULIS: So --

2.2

everything I want in this courtroom about people not getting out of cars. You're not going to stop a nine year old who is in her car with her dad who sees her mom in the middle of a parking lot. When you see the child exchange -- the other child being exchanged for a breastfeeding necessity, to stop her from wanting to get out of the car and go see her mother -- we either drill this down to where the exchange of Mason is just between father and mother, and Olivia stays with his mother or sister at whatever establishment or place that they're at, or --

MR. MARGULIS: I'm fine with that.

THE COURT: -- you go with my solution, which is stay at Staybridge. Let them swim. Let them do things at the hotel, and make this exchange of Mason a little bit less involved.

MR. MARGULIS: Respectfully, I'm going to disagree. I'm not going to challenge it. I don't think it's fair to the kids.

THE COURT: I don't think so, either.

MR. MARGULIS: The activities -- they go to the

There's a lot of things to do in the area, as 1 zoo, Canalside. 2 you know, that now, because of this issue -- so now my client can't participate in those types of activities. 3 THE COURT: Let's go back to basics. 5 MR. MARGULIS: Then what's going to happen, 6 Judge -- then we're going to have an in camera, and Jason 7 Cafarella is going to meet with the child, and the child is 8 going to say, I don't like staying with my dad. 9 We don't do anything. I sit at the hotel and I see 10 people with their Zubaz on, because they're in town for the 11 Bills' game. That's what's going to happen. 12 THE COURT: Hypothetically speaking, if these 13 parties had a lifestyle where they lived together, believe me 14 you, that's how they would run their life. Their life would be driven around whether the child has to feed. 15 If you're in the midst of something, the child would feed at any time the 16 17 child needs to. 18 MR. MARGULIS: Right. 19 THE COURT: It would be effected by everybody. 20 So how do I say, now, the child can't be made --21 MR. MARGULIS: I'm not requesting that. 2.2 THE COURT: I know you're not requesting it, but 23 you're requesting me to -- to --24 MR. MARGULIS: I'm just -- all I was 25 requesting --

1	THE COURT: make it easy
2	MR. MARGULIS: Maybe I'll withdraw the request.
3	All I was requesting was that the other child not be expected
4	to get out and
5	THE COURT: Well, there shouldn't be
6	MR. MARGULIS: I think we've had other cases
7	this issue came up.
8	THE COURT: That's
9	MR. MARGULIS: I would assume that's how the
10	Courts typically rule.
11	THE COURT: That's an exception. The child
12	shouldn't have to get
13	MR. MARGULIS: Yeah.
14	THE COURT: It's just a natural expectation.
15	How do I stop it, but for being there myself? I don't know.
16	MR. MARGULIS: What do you do about it?
17	THE COURT: It's going to happen. It's a
18	natural thing. It's natural, so
19	MR. MARGULIS: I'm withdrawing my request, then,
20	because I
21	THE COURT: You want the so you want it to
22	continue the way it is?
23	MR. MARGULIS: Yes. Yes. They will deal with
24	it. They will just have to deal with it, unless they work it
25	out by themselves, which wouldn't be such a terrible thing for

1	once, but otherwise, they will continue doing it's not a
2	motion that's before the Court. I was just asking for it as a
3	practical measure. I hereby withdraw that request. It's not
4	part of any motion on the table
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	MR. MARGULIS: before the Court, so I'm going
7	to consider that issue withdrawn, and my verbal request
8	withdrawn, as well.
9	THE COURT: All right.
10	MR. CERVI: Your Honor
11	THE COURT: I'll leave it to the parties to try
12	to figure this out, but the child does it's a natural thing
13	for a child to breastfeed at age one.
14	MR. MARGULIS: I'm not complaining about that.
15	THE COURT: I know you're not.
16	MR. MARGULIS: Yeah.
17	THE COURT: I know. Mr. Cervi has questioned
18	it. He's put it into question.
19	MR. MARGULIS: Okay.
20	THE COURT: I want to make sure he understands,
21	there's no decision. No judgment about that.
22	MR. MARGULIS: Sure.
23	THE COURT: As a matter of fact, it's a very
24	viable thing. The child should feed.
25	MR. MARGULIS: Of course.

1 THE COURT: If the parties lived together, there 2 would be no question about it. 3 MR. MARGULIS: And --These parties are going to have to 4 THE COURT: 5 figure out how to exchange this child without so much 6 interference going on at the time of the exchange. 7 Go ahead, Mr. Cervi. Anything else you need to 8 say? If not, I think we're done with this motion, and let's 9 start the hearing. 10 MR. CERVI: Just two things, Judge. The first 11 is, my client has shown me text messages -- apparently, she's 12 going out of town this weekend for her birthday, and they had 13 confirmed this via text message before, that that was going to 14 happen. It's -- I've got the text messages. My client is 15 going to Ohio, so -- that was the first thing. I wanted to 16 make sure it was brought up. 17 THE COURT: Who is the text message going to and 18 where is -- what is the response to it? When did the text 19 message go? 20 September 13th, he's confirming for MR. CERVI: 21 next Saturday and Sunday visit, the 22nd and 23rd. My client responds, more than happy to accommodate that. Also, please 2.2 23 note the children have plans for the weekend of 11/17 and 24 11/18 and will not be available. Thank you. His response,

25

Thanks.

okay.

1	THE COURT: And you're denying, Mr. Diarbakerly,
2	that that text messaging ever occurred?
3	PETER MARK DIARBAKERLY: No. I'm not
4	denying I'm not denying that.
5	THE COURT: I don't want you to say anything
6	unless Mr. Margulis
7	MR. MARGULIS: You can speak.
8	THE COURT: If there was an exchange of text
9	messages where you agree to, at least, swap a weekend
10	PETER MARK DIARBAKERLY: All my text messages
11	were, okay, thanks, to her. Pretty much what and you
12	can I can pull out my phone. It was referring to the visit
13	that weekend. It was not referring to that, the
14	THE COURT: But it was proposed to you that
15	there's a weekend where you're supposed to be visiting and she
16	would like to change it?
17	PETER MARK DIARBAKERLY: She I mean, it's
18	been a problem to to schedule these visitations.
19	THE COURT: I know, because you're coming from
20	out of town. I don't want to deal with that right now.
21	PETER MARK DIARBAKERLY: Okay.
22	THE COURT: If you guys can't exchange them and
23	it's every other weekend, something is I
24	Mr. Cervi, it's an order.
25	MR. CERVI: It is, Judge, but the he had

1	asked for the 22nd and 23rd, because his uncle had died, and
2	not that it's tit for tat, but she's given him other times.
3	She's trying to accommodate his schedule. These plans, her
4	and her family are going to Ohio this weekend, Judge.
5	KATIE LYNN RIFORD: Can I say something?
6	THE COURT: I don't want to waste any more time
7	on this. Let's get started with the hearing.
8	KATIE LYNN RIFORD: Can I say something?
9	THE COURT: I think the parties should work it
10	out. I'm not going to waste any more time on it. We have to
11	start this hearing. I've got three hearing dates, one being
12	today, and we're already 20 to 3:00 right now. Let's get
13	started, and maybe by 4:30, the parties will decide to walk
14	out in that hallway and decide if they can figure out their
15	access this weekend.
16	All right. Go ahead, Mr. Margulis. It's
17	your you begin.
18	MR. MARGULIS: Thank you, Your Honor. I'd like
19	to call, as my first witness, Jeanne Kratt.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	MR. MARGULIS: Want me to get her? I think
22	she's in
23	THE COURT: We'll get her. We'll get her sworn
24	in and talk about openings.
25	MR. MARGULIS: Do you want her to be present

1	for
2	THE COURT: Can you hold her in the hallway for
3	one second?
4	COURT OFFICER: Sure.
5	THE COURT: I apologize. We'll start the
6	hearing. I'm sorry.
7	Mr. Margulis, you begin. And I don't know if
8	anybody wants to do opening statements.
9	MR. MARGULIS: I'll waive.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Cervi?
11	MR. CERVI: Judge, I would waive an opening
12	statement.
13	THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Cafarella?
14	MR. CAFARELLA: Judge, I just have one statement
15	to make. Judge, I represent two children, and my youngest
16	child is Mason, and he's one year old. Based on his age, I'm
17	going to substitute judgment, because he lacks capacity for
18	knowing and voluntary considered judgment.
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	MR. CAFARELLA: With regards to Olivia, she's
21	eight years old, and her stated wishes are that she does not
22	want to have further access with her father.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	MR. CAFARELLA: However, I am substituting
25	judgment, because to follow the child's wishes, Judge, would

be tantamount to severing her relationship with her father. 1 2 That would not be in her best interests and would result -- will likely result in a substantial risk of imminent 3 serious harm to the child. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. 6 MR. CAFARELLA: Thank you, Judge. 7 Thank you. And then you're going to THE COURT: 8 order -- we're going to have a -- a Lincoln hearing at the end 9 of all this? MR. CAFARELLA: For Olivia, only. Yes, Judge. 10 11 THE COURT: Okay. Raise your right hand. 12 JEANNE KRATT, called herein as a witness, being 13 duly sworn, testified as follows: 14 THE COURT: Thank you. Have a seat. Just for 15 the record, say your name. Spell it out, and then just 16 where -- I would just put an address on of where your business 17 is. 18 THE WITNESS: Okay. Jeanne Kratt, J-E-A-N-N-E, K-R-A-T-T, address is 43 Court Street, Mezzanine Level, 19 Buffalo, New York 14202. 20 21 THE COURT: You may ask, Mr. Margulis. 22 MR. MARGULIS: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MARGULIS: 23 24 Ms. Kratt, good afternoon. 0 25 Good afternoon. Α

Would you please state for the Court what your 1 0 2 occupation is? Α I'm a social worker. 3 And what is your specific title? 0 5 Α When I work in the Courts I do supervised visits, home studies, and mediations for custody/visitation. In the past 6 7 I've done housing mediations, anything over in City Court, but mainly I'm in Family Court. 8 9 And can you describe for the Court, please, what your 10 educational experience consists of? 11 I have my Master's Degree in Social Work. I have many 12 hours of training in domestic violence, parent coordination, 13 divorce mediation, custody/visitation mediation, neighborhood 14 disputes, conflict resolution. And by the way, where did you obtain your MSW? 15 0 At the University of Buffalo. 16 Α 17 And would you please describe your -- the extent of 0 your work experience -- related work experience? 18 19 I've been in the Courts for 20 years doing mediations, parent coordination, supervised visits, and home 20 21 studies for various Judges and attorneys, mainly in Erie County Family Court, but I have also been in Niagara County 2.2 23 Court. 24 0 Specifically with respect to your experience in the

area of supervised visitations, can you tell us,

approximately, how many cases you've been involved with over 1 2 the past 20 years with relation to supervised visitation issues? I would say, approximately, 150. 5 And with respect to your training, other than your education and your master's degree from UB, have you attended 6 7 any educational type settings with respect to your occupation, 8 specifically with respect, I guess, to the supervised 9 visitation work that you do? 10 Α I would have to say the conflict resolution 11 is -- conflict resolution and parent coordination, not 12 specifically for supervised visits. I'm not aware of anything 13 that teaches specific to supervised visits. 14 Can you tell me, exactly, how are you employed? Q you a state employee? Are you self-employed? 15 16 Just self-employed. Α 17 During your involvement in this case have you been 0 18 paid or have you charged a fee? 19 I have been paid based on charges that I have given to Α the father. 20 21 And what is the charge or the fee you charge for services in this case? 2.2 23 \$75 an hour. 24 And would that include payment for your time in Court 25 today?

That's additional. 1 Α 2 Can you tell me how it came about that you became 3 involved in this case? I believe I received a phone call from Mr. Cafarella 5 for a supervised visit, to see if I would be interested and if 6 I had room in my schedule. 7 0 After you agreed to participate in this matter, can 8 you tell me what -- what were the first steps, or the early 9 steps that you took in the process? 10 Α The first thing I do when I'm assigned a case is to 11 contact both parties, see if they have any initial questions, 12 tell them what the procedure is for my supervised visit, see 13 if there's a Court order defining the guidelines, and meeting 14 with the residential parent and the child or children. 15 You mentioned quidelines. Are there any specific 16 quidelines that you're required to adhere to with respect to 17 your involvement in this case that you're aware of? No, not that I'm aware of. 18 Α 19 So when you started out -- oh, by the way, were you familiar with either of these parties before you became 20 21 involved? 2.2 Α No. You didn't know either one of them? 23 0 24 Α No. 25 Are you a mandatory reporter? Q

A Yes, I am.

2.2

Q Can you tell me, what does that mean to you? As a mandatory reporter, what, if anything, are you required to do, and under what circumstances?

A If I see harm being done to the child or -- or I see a bruise or a cut on a child, I would have to report it.

Q And specifically -- now, you said there are no formal guidelines that dictate any protocol with regard to supervising visitation?

A Right. If there were, they're set up by the Court ahead of time in any case that I've done, or I reach out to the Attorney for the Children to see if there's any guidelines, because sometimes I -- I don't get paperwork for cases.

Q So with respect to this case, can you just tell me, what do you understand your role and your function to be in this particular case?

A To supervise dad with the children, and to make sure that they are safe and taken care of. And on this particular case, to make sure that Mason was returned for breastfeeding, and then given back to dad for additional access once he was breastfed and he has taken a nap -- he had taken a nap.

Q Approximately -- or if you know, specifically, how many periods of visitation between these two parties did you supervise?

I believe I had eight weekends, so 16 visits. 1 Α 2 0 During those 16 occasions did you find the need to 3 contact CPS for any reason? No, not at all. Α 5 So when you first were contacted by Mr. Cafarella and 6 you agreed to participate in this case, did there come a time 7 when you met the parties, initially? 8 Not before the visit. I had -- I had a visit 9 scheduled with mom and the children the Friday before the visit, but Court ran over on Friday, so I could not meet her 10 11 and the children, so I met them Saturday morning at 43 Court 12 Street, before the first visit. 13 So are you suggesting that you -- so at the first Q visit that you supervised, it took place on a Saturday? 14 15 Α Yes. And your testimony is, prior to that occasion, you had 16 Q 17 not met either of the parties, or you had not met Ms. Riford? 18 Α I didn't meet either party. I spoke with them on the 19 phone, but I did not meet them in person. 20 So did you meet them both in person for the first time 21 on that Saturday morning? 2.2 Α I did, yes. 23 And you said you were supposed to meet them the day 24 before. That got cancelled because Court ran over? 25 Yes, because I had a mediation in Court on Friday, and

Α

I -- I just never know when I'm going to get out of Court, so
I just didn't have time to meet with mom and the children on
that Friday.

Q Since that time have there been any occasions where you have met with one of the parties without the other party present --

A No.

2.2

Q -- for any purposes?

A No.

Q So at the first meeting -- strike that.

At the first visitation period, prior to the commencement of the actual visitation, was there any discussion that you had with either of the parties?

A I always ask the parties if they have any questions about my role. I certainly want the -- in this case, I wanted mom to be comfortable with me, because she's handing her children off to a perfect stranger, and I understand that, so I wanted to meet with Olivia and Mason and mom to see if she had any questions, just explain my role, that I'm supposed to keep the children safe, and if at any time she wanted to text me, she could. I would text her to say that the kids were fine. I don't do that throughout my entire visits with the children. Normally, it's just that first visit I always tell the parents -- the parent who is giving me their children, if you want to know how the kids are, if I don't reach out to

you, first, let me know, and I -- I always say the visit was 1 2 fine. If there was a problem, I immediately would -- I tell parties I would get the kids to safety, call the police, and 3 then I would call the parent. 5 So is that a conversation that, in fact, took place 6 between yourself and Ms. Riford? 7 Α Yes. 8 You said you wanted to give her an opportunity to ask 9 you any questions. Did she have any questions? 10 Α She did not have any questions. 11 Did she say anything at that time about her sentiments 12 toward the visitation in the first place? 13 I knew that she wasn't happy with the visits being 14 supervised. 15 And how did you know that? 16 Just the fact that she didn't engage in a conversation 17 If I gave my children to a complete stranger, I with me. would want to give them details. I'd want to ask questions. 18 19 I'd want some sense of security.

She was -- she was fine on the phone for our Friday meeting. You know, she didn't disparage dad, but when I met her on Saturday, she was negative. She had her mother with her. Her mother said negative things about dad.

Q This was the Saturday that constituted the first visitation period?

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1 Α It was -- it was very hot that day, so we went 2 into the foyer. We were inside the building at 43 Court 3 Street. 43 Court Street. Is that Attorney Cervi's office? 0 5 It's the building. My office is in the same building. Α 6 Now, was Peter Diarbakerly there, too? Q 7 Α No. Okay. So just so I'm clear, your testimony is you met 8 9 on the Saturday morning immediately prior to the visitation 10 period --11 Α Um-hmm. 12 -- at the building where Mr. Cervi's office is, to 13 meet with mom and the kids? 14 Yes. At the time, though, Dana Herrington was mom's Α 15 attorney. 16 Okay. Was her office in that building, too, if you Q 17 know? I'm not aware of that. 18 Α 19 Okay. So you did have conversation with Ms. Riford 20 and possibly her mother on that occasion, on that Saturday 21 morning? 2.2 Α Yes. 23 0 And Peter was not present? 24 Α He was not. 25 Okay. So I think I asked you a few minutes ago if you Q

had any discussions -- maybe I -- I thought I asked you if you 1 2 had had any discussions with one, without the other present. 3 I thought you said no. I just want to clarify, you had a conversation with Ms. Riford alone, without dad present --4 5 Α Correct. -- on that occasion? 6 Q 7 Α Yes. 8 Her mother was present? Q 9 Α Yes. 10 Q And Olivia was present? 11 Α Yes. 12 And Mason was present? Q 13 Yes. Α 14 And you stated that Ms. Riford disparaged Peter --Q 15 Α Yes. -- on that occasion? 16 Q 17 Α Yes. Do you remember, specifically, what she said? 18 0 19 She and mom both made reference to dad shouldn't have Α 20 supervised visits. 21 Okay. Did she say anything further? Did she say he shouldn't have supervised visits, or any visits? 22 23 The way I took it is that he shouldn't have any 24 visits. She was just angry. 25 MR. CERVI: I'm going to object, Your Honor, to

the speculation. 1 2 MR. MARGULIS: Well, her state of mind. I can ask her how she interpreted the -- that, as her own state of 3 mind. 4 5 THE COURT: Sustained to that, but I -- she can testify to her observation of mother's behavior and reaction. 6 7 MR. MARGULIS: Sure. 8 BY MR. MARGULIS: 9 Was there anything else that Katie said that you 10 concluded to be disparaging in nature, on that occasion? Not that I can recall right now. 11 12 And did you have conversation with her mother at that 13 time, as well? 14 I did not. She was present. She muttered some words Α that I couldn't make out. That was at every visit, though. 15 16 There was always conversation between Katie and her mother. 17 MR. CERVI: Objection, Your Honor. The question has been asked and it's been answered. There's not a question 18 19 out there right now. 20 THE COURT: All right. I'll just direct that 21 the witness just answer what's being asked. Sustained. 2.2 MR. MARGULIS: Sure. 23 THE WITNESS: Okav. BY MR. MARGULIS: 24 25 On that first meeting on that Saturday morning -- so I Q

think you said that Katie had no questions for you? 1 2 Α Correct. And did you explain to her what your expectations were 3 of her or Peter? 4 5 I'm sure that I did on that Saturday and on the phone. 6 And I apologized for not being there on Friday. I just had no 7 control over when Court got out. So the Friday you're referring to, that was a meeting, 8 9 not a visitation period? 10 Α Right. A brief meeting. I do that as a courtesy, so 11 the parties and the children are comfortable with me -- with 12 seeing me the next time. 13 Did there come a time -- and after that first meeting on Saturday -- strike that. 14 That first meeting on that Saturday, you met 15 16 with Katie. Her mom was present. Olivia was present. Did 17 you have any conversation with Olivia at that point in time? 18 Α We were -- yes. We were going out to meet dad, and I 19 told her I apologize for missing the visit on Friday, and she 20 said that that was okay. 21 MR. CERVI: Objection to what the child said, 22 Judge. That's hearsay. 23 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. MARGULIS: 2.4 25 Okay. Did you -- so did you leave with Katie and the Q

kids from 43 Court Street and then meet Peter? 1 What happened 2 next, I quess? 3 Α The children and I left outside the building. We just turned left a little bit. I had text Peter and his mom to 4 5 come to the -- in front of the -- of 43 Court Street, so that we could get the kids, and Katie and her mom went to the 6 7 parking lot where they had parked across the street, to get in 8 their vehicle to leave, I'm assuming. So -- okay. So you got into Peter's vehicle? 9 10 Α Yes. 11 0 And everybody else did, as well? 12 Α Um-hmm. 13 Okay. And is that the first time then that you met Q 14 Peter? 15 Α Yes. 16 Did you have a conversation with Peter of the type Q 17 that you had with Katie? 18 Α Yes. Always. 19 Did you give him an opportunity to ask questions? Q 20 Α Yes. 21 Did you explain to him what your -- what you understood your role to be? 22 23 Α Yes. 24 And did you explain to him any expectations that you Q 25 had or ground rules or policies, if you will?

1 Α Yes. 2 Q Did Peter say anything to you at that point? No, just that -- he acknowledged that he understood. 3 Α During the first visit on that Saturday, do you 4 0 5 remember what you did, or what they did? 6 Α I believe we went to the naval park. 7 Downtown Buffalo? 0 8 Α Yes. 9 Did anything unusual take place during that period? 10 Α Not that I can recall. I know it was always difficult 11 to figure out when Mason --12 MR. CERVI: Objection, Judge. It's asked and 13 answered. She said not that she could recall. 14 THE COURT: What was the objection to? I'm 15 sorry. 16 MR. CERVI: She responded to a question that's 17 not out there. He asked a question. She said not that she 18 can recall, and then she started talking after that. 19 I'm going to overrule that. THE COURT: She -- I think she said not that she could recall, but then 20 21 was recalling something. I'm going to let her finish. I was just going to say, with 2.2 THE WITNESS: 23 needing to always return Mason for feeding, that -- we didn't 24 know when that fit into the schedule, because Katie wasn't

clear on when breastfeeding should occur. She said it should

25

be every two hours. She said sometimes, depending on if he was fussy or not -- he would get fussy depending on when she breastfed him.

BY MR. MARGULIS:

2.2

Q Did you have any discussion with her before leaving to go on the visit with Peter about the breastfeeding?

A Vaguely. It was -- there was no clearly defined rules whether it's supposed to be two hours exactly, two hours and 45 minutes exactly. We didn't know where we were -- I didn't know where we were going to be with the children. Of course we didn't know where she was going to be when we called for picking up for breastfeeding and a nap, so there was time that would be attached to that, like, how long it would take her to get to us. It was always a mystery.

Q Before you left to embark on the first visit, did

Katie tell you at what time she had last breastfed the child?

A She was fairly good about communicating when she breastfed the child. It was usually 45 minutes to an hour before we saw -- before we picked up the child, at any given time.

Q So if it was 45 minutes to an hour before picking up the child -- and I think you just said that she, at some point, indicated she breastfeeds him every two hours?

A Um-hmm.

Q So were you expecting to have to return him after the

first hour?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Well, that's why it was always a -- it was always, does he need to be breastfed? Is he being fussy? Peter would feed him pieces of food, and he ate it. Olivia said that he eats at -- that mom feeds him pieces of chicken, vegetables. It just -- it was never clearly defined. It was always a wonder.

So how would that work on that occasion, and even successive occasions, with the breastfeeding? How would you know when the parties needed to meet up again to exchange the baby? Would you communicate with Katie?

Usually not during the visit. I would let her know when we were going to meet, and I knew that it would take some travel time, but she wanted Peter to pick up on cues for when Mason was ornery. That was her word, cues. Peter needed to learn the cues of when he needed to be fed.

0 In your presence did she tell Peter what those cues were?

Α No.

> Was there ever an occasion Katie would -- strike that. 0

During these visits, if there was any communication between Katie and any of you folks in the car or on the visit, would it be between you and Katie or Peter and Katie or both?

Α Me and Katie.

1 Okay. So were there ever any occasions when 2 Katie -- strike that. 3 By what means of communication are we talking Text messaging? 4 about? 5 Α Text messages. 6 Were there ever any occasions when she text messaged Q 7 you and said it's time to bring the baby? 8 MR. CERVI: Judge, I'm going to object to the 9 It's -- he's almost testifying. He's -- it's 10 extreme leading. 11 THE COURT: I -- I --12 MR. CERVI: He's saying, is there any time she 13 said to you, blank. It doesn't get any more leading than 14 that. 15 THE COURT: Sustained. Mr. Margulis, try to be 16 a little more vague about your questions. 17 MR. MARGULIS: Sure. BY MR. MARGULIS: 18 19 Were there ever any occasions during which you 20 received text messages from Katie during the visitation 21 periods? 2.2 Α Yes. 23 0 Ever receive any texts --24 Α Yes. 25 -- on your phone? Would that have been on your phone? Q

1 Α Yes. 2 Were any of those text messages relating to feeding of 3 the children? She would want to know when she was getting the child 5 back, because it was beyond the two-hour mark, and she wanted to know when the child was going to be returned, so that she 6 7 could breastfeed Mason. 8 Okay. On any of those occasions when you received the 9 text message of the type you just described, what did you do? 10 Α I would have a conversation with dad. I would text 11 her -- we didn't text often, but I would text her that Mason 12 did eat, or that we were going to meet her at such and such a 13 location. 14 Was there -- were there ever any occasions -- so after 0 you received word from Katie, were there ever any occasions 15 16 during which Katie requested to meet so she could breastfeed 17 Mason, that Peter denied that request --18 Α No. 19 -- that you're aware of? 0 20 Α Correct. 21 And how did it come about that you would determine where you would meet to exchange Mason for breastfeeding? 2.2 Peter and I would have a conversation and let Katie 23 24 During the first four visits or so -- maybe three know. 25 visits, Katie wanted to know exactly where we were, so she was

1 close by. 2 When you say she wanted to know where you were, at what stage of the visit did she ask you that? 3 The very beginning of the -- of the visit; she wanted Α 5 to know exactly what we were doing and where we were going. In advance? 6 Q 7 Α Yeah. And would you tell her, or would Peter tell her? 8 0 9 I think the first visit or two, but I had conversations with Mr. Cafarella, and that wasn't part of the 10 11 Court order. We didn't have to let her know. My job was to 12 keep the children safe and make sure that the visits went 13 smoothly between dad and the kids. 14 Did you have any understanding as to what was supposed Q to occur after exchanging Mason for breastfeeding purposes 15 16 with regard to Mason? 17 My understanding, he was going to be breastfed and he Α was going to take a short nap and be returned to dad to 18 19 continue the rest of the visit, how ever long. Did that, in fact, occur? 20 0 21 Maybe once. We very rarely got Mason back. I can't recall how many times. There were probably four or five times 2.2 23 where there was minutes left of the visit and Katie would say,

Was there a mechanism by which you would communicate

I'm here. Does Peter want Mason? And there was minutes left.

2.4

25

Q

with Katie at the end of this breastfeeding and napping 1 2 period? I never knew -- or we never knew when the child was 3 breastfed. She could have picked him up at noon and drove 4 5 around and didn't feed him until 1:00, and then he napped until 4:00. I have no idea. 6 7 0 Was there a mechanism in place? Did you discuss with 8 Katie what your expectation was, as far as communication 9 between the two of you after the nap, after the breastfeeding? She would tell me that she would call me if the child 10 Α 11 was awake. Like, she controlled the situation. 12 And on how many of those occasions -- and I think you 13 said there were 16, in total? 14 Α I believe so. Of those -- strike that. 15 0 Of those 16 occasions, on how many of them did 16 17 you exchange Mason for purposes of breastfeeding and/or napping? 18 19 Every single time. Α All 16? 20 0 21 Α Um-hmm. Okay. Out of those 16 occasions -- on how many of 2.2 23 those 16 occasions was Mason, in fact, returned to you and Peter after you delivered him for the breastfeeding and the 24 25 napping?

1 Α I would say two. 2 0 Two times yes, or two times no? Two times yes, he was returned. 3 Α He was returned to you twice out of the 16 visits? 4 0 5 Α Two times out of 16. 6 By the way, so at the first visit when you met on Q 7 Court Street, did Katie communicate to you anything in terms 8 of instructions with regard to the children? 9 She did say that they should be kept out of the heat. 10 She always included the inhaler for Olivia. At the very first 11 visit she gave me two pieces of paper from the pediatrician 12 for each of the children, indicating that the heat is -- would 13 be an issue. They -- they didn't say they shouldn't be 14 outside, but I needed to be aware of it. That's what she told 15 me. 16 So she gave you an inhaler. Which child would that 0 17 have been for? That was for Olivia. 18 Α 19 Do you have any knowledge as to any conditions that Olivia suffers from that requires the use of an inhaler? 20 21 Α My understanding is she does have asthma. 2.2 Did Katie instruct or you Peter as to the use or 23 application of the inhaler? She did not instruct me. I do know that Peter had 24 Α

asthma growing up, so he was familiar with the Albuterol.

25

1 Q Would Katie provide you with the inhaler on each of 2 the 16 visits? Α Yes. 3 Did there come a time -- strike that. 0 5 Did there come a time when Katie -- I'm sorry, when Olivia used the inhaler during the 16 visits? 6 7 Α She did, yes. Once. 8 0 And -- one time? 9 Α On one occasion, yes. And did she do it herself or did she appear to require 10 11 assistance? 12 She was adamant that she did it herself. She told her 13 father she could do it by herself, and he wanted to assist 14 her. And who won that debate? 15 0 16 She pushed him away. She said she knew how to do it, Α and he just stood over her to make sure that she could -- she 17 could do it on her own. She did end up needing his 18 19 assistance. Oh, she did? Okay. And did he assist? 20 Q 21 Α Yes. At the time she used the inhaler, did she appear to be 22 23 in any distress just before using the inhaler? After she used the inhaler with the assistance 24 25 from Peter, did she appear to be in any distress?

1 Α No. 2 At that first meeting with Katie did she tell you anything about the children's food preferences? 3 No. I did ask if there was any nut allergies, because 5 I would like to be aware of that, obviously. 6 And what did she say? Q 7 Α There was no food allergies, and that Mason was 8 breastfeeding. 9 You said there was no discussion about food 10 preferences? Correct. Not from -- not from Katie. 11 12 Other than staying out of the heat and giving you the 13 inhaler, did Katie provide you with any restrictions or rules 14 or anything like that that needed to be followed with respect to the visitation? 15 She just wanted the kids out of the sun. 16 She didn't 17 want them in the sun. 18 And did you have occasion to observe Katie and the 0 19 children upon leaving to go with Peter on those 16 visits? I'm sorry, I don't understand your question. 20 Α 21 0 On each of these 16 occasions, the visitation periods, you would meet up with Katie and Peter and the kids? 2.2 23 Α Yes. 24 And then Katie would hand off the kids to you and/or 0 25 Peter?

1 Α Only me. 2 Q Only you? 3 Only me. Α Okay. Did you have occasion to observe her demeanor 4 5 at the time that she was handing off the children to you on those occasions? 6 7 Α Yes. 8 How would you characterize her demeanor? 9 Bitter, angry. Α 10 On any of those occasions -- now, you said there were 11 16 days, correct? 12 Α Yeah. 13 And each day would be, kind of, cut in half, because Q you would have to exchange the child. Would there have been 14 more than 16 times that you would see Katie -- and Peter, for 15 16 that matter? 17 Α I would see Katie an extra time, if we dropped off 18 Mason and maybe picked him up, yes. 19 And during the exchanges where you've had the occasion 20 to observe Katie's demeanor, on any of those occasions did 21 Katie make any statements to the children about Peter? 2.2 Α No. 23 And did she, during those same occasions, make any 24 statements to the children about you? 25 Α About me? No.

During these visitation periods did you ever 1 Okay. 2 have occasion to observe Peter checking Mason's diaper to see 3 if it needed to be changed? Α Yes. Every time. 5 Were there ever any occasions that you observed where 0 6 Peter changed the diaper? 7 Α There was only two times where he didn't. Yes. And was this always in your presence? 8 0 9 Α Always, yes. Did you have occasion -- on those occasions, did you 10 0 11 have the opportunity to personally observe what was in the 12 diaper? 13 Α Sometimes I did, yes. I do respect people's privacy, so I wouldn't watch Peter changing the diaper, per se, but 14 being around children, I know if there's feces in the diaper, 15 16 it smells, and it did not smell. It never smelled, and dad 17 would say what was in the diaper. It was always urine in the diaper, never feces. 18 19 Never? 0 20 Α Never. 21 And of those occasions where Peter told you there was urine in the diaper, did you ever look for yourself or happen 2.2 to see for yourself if that was, in fact, the case? 23 24 Once he changed the diaper and moved it away

and folded it up to where it needed to be contained so he

Α

25

could throw it away, I could see that the white fiber on the 1 2 inside was yellow. And was there anything that you observed in Peter's 3 0 diaper changing technique that gave you any concern? 4 5 Α No, not at all. 6 Are you aware of any occasions when Peter returned Q 7 Mason to Katie with a dirty diaper, meaning feces in the 8 diaper? 9 There was one occasion we left a visit I had -- it was Α 10 towards the end when we were going to drop off the child, and 11 I told Katie, I said, you might want to change him or check 12 his diaper, because between transporting the child from the 13 spot where we were to where we were meeting Katie, Mason had 14 gone to the bathroom. Number one or number two? 15 0 Number two. I'm assuming number two. It did smell. 16 Α 17 And you said that was on your way to going to meet 0 Katie? 18 19 Α Yes. 20 And you handed her the baby and you said, you might 21 want to change him? 2.2 Α Yes. 23 During the exchanges, when you first start out 24 in the morning, I guess, were the parties punctual in meeting? 25 Α Dad was. Mom was not.

Can you explain that? 1 0 2 Dad was -- dad was always at the visit -- at the 3 location, and mom would either be late -- sometimes she would I never knew when Katie was at the location we were text me. 5 meeting, because she always parked in a different spot. to actually find her in the parking lot. 6 7 0 How would you do that? So you -- strike that. 8 Where did the -- where did the exchanges occur? 9 Majority of the exchanges were on Walden and Union at 10 the Milton's restaurant. 11 How did that work? Would you get there and look for 12 Peter or --13 I would back into my spot. Peter was there. 14 Sometimes he and his mother would be inside the restaurant. 15 Sometimes they would be in the car, depending on what we were 16 doing, and I would look at my clock. Wait for Katie. 17 text me on one occasion saying that she was late. She had to 18 change Mason's diaper. She arrived late. She changed his 19 She then tried to breastfeed him, and she said diaper again. 20 that he was not interested on that particular day. 21 So do you recall, specifically, on how many occasions Katie was late arriving to the first exchange? 2.2 23 Almost every time. I don't have the number. 24 wanted me to give you a number, I would say she was late 14

25

out of 16 times.

O And how late?

2.2

A Minutes. I think the latest she was, was 10 minutes, but that's when she pulled over and let me know she was changing the diaper.

Q And when you would exchange for the final return of the children, was -- you were with Peter, so was Katie punctual?

A Yes. She was always there. Except for maybe one time she was a couple minutes or a minute late.

Q At these exchanges -- at the first exchange of the day, can you describe how smoothly they went, as far as handing off the children efficiently and, in a timely manner, going on your way?

A I would get to the car with Olivia and Mason. Katie and her mom would be waiting there for us, or we would wait briefly. There was one time we went to the naval park and parking is a little difficult there, and Katie text me and said where she was. I think she had to circle around the arena again, but her mother would get out of the car and ask Olivia how she was. It was always the two of them at the visit picking up Mason.

Q Were there ever any occasions when Katie caused the start of the visitation period to be delayed, other than just being late, maybe up to 10 minutes?

A Yes. I believe it was the third visit we had

she -- she demanded knowing what -- exactly what we were 1 2 doing, what time we were going to be at a certain location, what time we were going to return the child for breastfeeding. 3 I had text Mr. Cafarella to see if there was a Court order 5 stating that we had to tell her exactly what we were doing and 6 where we were going. That took an hour, so the visit did 7 start an hour later. 8 0 Did it extend an hour? 9 Α It did not. 10 0 Did Peter get an extra hour at the end? 11 Α He did not. 12 Did that occur on more than that one occasion? Q 13 No, it did not. Α 14 And during the exchanges -- so at the -- at the second Q 15 exchange of the day, when they were exchanging just the baby, 16 can you describe how that would occur? As far as the -- and I 17 don't mean the text messaging or anything leading up to it. 18 Once you both were at the same location, what would happen? 19 I would hand off Mason to Katie. I would ask if he 20 was going to be returned. She said it depended on how long he 21 ate and when his nap was. Any conversation with Katie was like pulling teeth, and that always baffled me. 2.2 23 0 What would Olivia be doing during these exchanges of

24

25

Mason?

She would get out of the car? 1 Q 2 Α She got out of the car, until I asked that grandma 3 stay in the car. Can you describe that more specifically, please? 4 5 Grandma was there, I think you said, at every exchange? 6 Α She was. 7 So you met Katie. Did you pull your cars close to Q each other at some parking lot? 8 9 No. We usually would walk to where Katie could easily 10 get Mason, from -- from the side of the road. Sometimes it was the side of the road. Sometimes it was a parking lot. 11 12 just met. 13 Okay. So you park your car. Q 14 Well, we were in Peter's car. Yeah. Α 15 Q I'm sorry, Peter would park the car? 16 Α Yup. 17 Was he the driver? 0 18 Α Always. 19 So Peter parked the car, and would he park near where Q 20 Katie's car was? 21 Α Never. 22 Q Why? Katie didn't -- she made it very clear she hated 23 She didn't want him to be near her. She didn't want 24 Peter. 25 to talk to him or see him, and she told me on two occasions

that she hated him. 1 2 She said this or she texted it? Α She said it. 3 Was anyone else present at the time she said that? 4 0 5 The last visit she said it her mother was present, Α Olivia was present, and Mason was present. 6 7 Q So your testimony is that she said that in front of 8 the kids? 9 Α Yes. You said -- there were two things. I only wrote down 10 11 You quoted her as saying she hated him, and something 12 else. 13 Didn't want to be anywhere near him. Α 14 Did she say why? Q Because she hated him. 15 Α Had Peter ever said anything like that to you about 16 Q Katie? 17 18 Α No. 19 So describe for me -- okay. So you said Peter would not park near Katie. Is it your testimony that Katie 20 21 expressed to you and/or Peter that she didn't want him parking near her? She specifically said that? 22 23 She said she would not park near him. He was always 24 on time, so it was her that was coming into the parking lot. 25 Q I see.

And she wouldn't park anywhere near him. 1 2 baffled as to why there was a hunt for -- by me -- where she 3 parked in the parking lot. It didn't make any sense. So Peter would arrive before Katie? 5 Α Yes. And then Katie would somehow or another find where you 6 Q 7 were, and she would park away from Peter, correct? I would see her coming into one of the entryways to 8 9 Milton's, and then her vehicle would disappear, and I would have to go find her in the parking lot. 10 11 0 Okav. Once you found her in the parking lot, what 12 would happen next? 13 She would get the kids out. She would get Mason in Α his stroller. She would kiss Olivia goodbye, tell her to take 14 care of her brother. Whenever -- after I put the rules down, 15 16 that her mom could not get out of the vehicle, she would leave 17 the windows down. 18 Why would Olivia be -- so were you inside the 0 19 restaurant on these occasions? 20 Α No. We just met outside. 21 So you were in the car? I was in my vehicle. Peter and his mother were in 2.2 their vehicle. 23 24 Okay. I just want to clarify, you're describing when 0 25 you would first start out a visitation period in the morning?

1 Α Yes. 2 Q Okay. Let me draw your attention to the occasions 3 when you would meet, specifically, in the middle of the day, if you will, for purposes of returning -- or exchanging, 4 5 rather, Mason. 6 Α Okay. 7 How ever frequently or after whatever duration, but 0 there were occasions on -- at least 16 of them, were there 8 9 not -- when you met midway through the visitation period to 10 exchange the baby for feeding? 11 Α Yes. 12 Okay. So with those occasions in mind, my question 13 is, can you describe, how did that -- how did the exchange 14 take place? I think you started to say you would text with Katie to determine where to meet? 15 16 Α Correct. We did that, yes. 17 Okay. And then you each drove there. Someone got 0 there first, right? 18 19 Α Yes. 20 So you parked your respective cars, presumably not 21 next to each other, right? 2.2 Α Right. 23 What would happen next, as far as actual exchange of the child? Who would get out of what car and so forth? 24 25 Peter would get out of his car, put Mason in the Α

I would take Olivia and Mason to Katie at her 1 2 vehicle. Whether she was there first or not, it just -- it 3 just depended. Okay. So why did you take Olivia to mom's car? 5 there any particular reason why you would do that? I think sometimes mom would park in a spot where she 6 Α 7 couldn't see Peter's car or I couldn't see Peter's car. 8 job was to supervise Olivia, so if I was taking Mason to mom 9 without -- Olivia would be unsupervised with dad for that short amount of time. 10 11 Would Peter stay in his car or get out of his car to 12 assist in the exchange? 13 He would get back in his car once he got Mason into 14 the stroller. 15 0 Okay. So were there ever any occasions when Peter 16 actually approached Katie's vehicle? 17 Α No. Okay. So you -- so Peter would stay in his car after 18 0 19 putting the baby in the stroller, and you then had both kids with you, because you were the supervisor? 20 21 Α Right. What were your observations as to anything that would 2.2 23 happen next? So you would walk with the kids over to Katie's 24 car?

I would walk -- yes. I would tell Katie if the diaper

25

Α

was changed, ask when we were going to get Mason back. 1 2 would tell her any relevant information. She would, again, 3 have the windows down, so her mother could hear anything that I said. 5 MR. CERVI: Objection as to the speculation, 6 Judge. 7 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. MARGULIS: 8 9 After you requested that the grandmother stay in their 10 vehicle, did she comply with that? Sometimes she did. Sometimes she did not. 11 Α 12 On those occasions that she would get out of the 13 vehicle, what, if anything, did you observe her doing? 14 Α She would ask Olivia if she was okay. On one occasion she asked Olivia if she wanted to continue the visit and if 15 16 she was okay. 17 0 On any of those -- during any of those exchanges when you were exchanging the baby, were there ever any occasions 18 19 when you heard -- without repeating anything that may or may not have been said, were there ever any occasions when you 20 21 heard the grandmother make any negative comments about Peter? 2.2 Α Yes. And to whom did she make those comments? 23 0 24 Α She was just talking out loud, as --25 MR. CERVI: Judge, I'm going to object to that

last answer, as well as to this one. It's clearly hearsay, 1 2 Judae. There's no question. I understand that they're not saying -- you know, it's kind of clever -- without saying what 3 was said. But it's still offered to prove the truth of the 4 5 matter asserted, so it's offered to show that grandma, who is 6 not here, and it's an out-of-court statement that she had 7 said -- that she made a derogatory statement towards the 8 father in the child's presence. It's -- it can't be offered 9 for any other reason, other than the truth that they are seeking to show, that she made a negative statement about dad 10 11 in the child's presence, so I would object to that, as well as 12 the next question. 13 As well as the next question? THE COURT: 14 MR. CERVI: It was --15 MR. MARGULIS: The preemptive objection. THE COURT: 16 The crystal ball question that you 17 already know he's going to ask? 18 I'm a clairvoyant, Judge. MR. CERVI: 19 THE COURT: What's that? MR. CERVI: 20 I said I'm a clairvoyant. 21 THE COURT: I want to see your certification 22 papers next time you come in. 23 MR. MARGULIS: It's not being offered for the 24 truth of the matter asserted, Your Honor. It goes to the 25 demeanor and the state of mind of the declarant. We're not

suggesting or offering proof as to the truthfulness of the 1 2 statement. First of all, the question didn't even ask what 3 That may or may not have been my next question. 4 did she sav. 5 Actually, he was wrong. That wasn't going to be my next question, because I know that would be hearsay, but I don't 6 7 believe the question that's before the witness right now asks 8 for hearsay or is in any way improper. 9 THE COURT: Mr. Cafarella, do you want to 10 respond? 11 MR. CAFARELLA: Judge, I don't believe it's 12 hearsay. It's the -- it's not an out-of-court statement. 13 It's like the old law school question where there's a person about to die, and they said, are you alive, and the person 14 15 says, yes, I am. It's not the statement that yes, I am alive; 16 it's the fact that they spoke, and that's not hearsay, so I 17 think it's basically the same thing. It's surmising that a 18 negative comment was made, not the specific comment. The specific comment would be hearsay, but not the fact that it 19 was said. 20 21 THE COURT: Okay. I agree. Overruled. 2.2 MR. MARGULIS: I don't know if the witness 23 answered the question. 24 THE COURT: She hasn't. 25 MR. MARGULIS: You can -- I believe you can

1	answer the question.
2	THE COURT: Do you want her to read back the
3	question, so it's specifically read back?
4	THE WITNESS: Yes, please.
5	MR. CERVI: Judge, I believe it was answered. I
6	believe she said there was a negative statement that was made.
7	MR. MARGULIS: The last question I asked was to
8	whom.
9	THE COURT: Stop. We have a record. Let me
10	have the record read back.
11	(Whereupon, the above-requested testimony was read
12	back by the reporter.)
13	THE COURT: All right. So that's overruled.
14	She said yes to the disparaging comment about father, so
15	MR. MARGULIS: So the question really that's
16	dangling is, to whom were any negative comments made?
17	MR. CERVI: Objection. That's asked and
18	answered. She indicated
19	THE COURT: Overruled, because she didn't finish
20	her answer, because you had objected, so let me let her finish
21	her formulation of her answer, and then we'll move on.
22	Go ahead.
23	THE WITNESS: Anyone who was listening. I was
24	present, and the two children were present.
25	THE COURT: Thank you. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. MARGULIS: Based on -- again, you can't repeat what she said. Based on what you heard her say, the grandmother, did you draw any conclusions as to the grandmother's sentiments toward Peter? MR. CERVI: Objection, Judge. That calls for speculation. MR. MARGULIS: It's not speculation. It's a conclusion. It's this witness's state of mind, which is admissible, and it's an exception to the hearsay rule. THE COURT: Overruled. BY MR. MARGULIS: Did you draw any conclusions as to the grandmother's sentiments towards Peter, based on the negative comments she made in the presence of the two children? Α Yes. What conclusions did you draw? Q That Peter should not be around the children. Α Was there any occasion when you exchanged the children 0 inside a Wegmans? Α Unintentionally, yes. 0 And when was that, if you recall? I don't recall the exact date. Α Was it on one of those 16 that we described? 0 Α Yes. Yeah. You said it wasn't intended. How did it -- well, Q

strike that. 1 2 What, if anything, took place inside a Wegmans that's relevant here today? 3 We had Olivia and Mason shopping. It was towards the 5 end of the visit. The drop off was supposed to be at Wegmans. 6 We were going to meet -- I was going to meet Katie outside 7 with the two kids. It was very close to the time that the 8 visit would end. Katie text me and asked if she wanted me to 9 send her mother in to pick up the children, and I said no. 10 We cashed out. Olivia was -- picked out some 11 ice cream that she wanted, and we were walking away from the 12 cashiers, from the checkout, and out of nowhere, Katie's 13 mother came in, pushed me out of the way from the stroller, and just took off pushing the stroller outside of Wegmans. I 14 was just as shocked as Olivia. Olivia looked at me with big 15 16 eyes and an open mouth, and didn't say anything --17 MR. CERVI: Objection, Your Honor. 18 THE WITNESS: -- but she was just startled. 19 There's no question out there. MR. CERVI: 20 She's going on a narrative. 21 MR. MARGULIS: I asked what happened. THE COURT: All right. Just -- sustained. 2.2 23 Just --24 MR. MARGULIS: I'll rephrase the question. 25 THE COURT: Ask the next question that would

1 elicit that same answer. 2 MR. MARGULIS: Sure. 3 BY MR. MARGULIS: So Katie text you and asked you if she should send her 4 5 mother into Wegmans to pick up the kids? To assist. 6 Α 7 And you said no? 8 Α I said no. 9 How much later was it when you saw the grandmother 10 inside Wegmans? 11 Less than a minute. 12 Can you be more specific with respect to the actions 13 taken by the grandmother at the moment when you saw her? 14 MR. CERVI: Objection, Your Honor. It's asked 15 and answered. She already testified as to what she said 16 happened. 17 MR. MARGULIS: Okay. She said, she pushed me out of the way. I think I have the right to inquire as to the 18 19 specifics of what that means. 20 Okay. Overruled. I'll allow it. THE COURT: 21 BY MR. MARGULIS: 2.2 Let me rephrase the question, then. You said she 23 pushed you out of the way. Can you describe what you meant when you said she pushed you out of the way? 24 25 The best way I can describe it is, she hip checked me. Α

She just -- her right side -- her left side hit my right side, 1 2 and she just -- she just pushed me out of the way and -pushed my hands, pushed me -- literally pushed me so that she 3 could take over pushing the stroller, and she walked out of 4 the store with Mason inside the stroller. 5 And where was Olivia? 6 Q 7 Α She was next to me, and grandma was about six feet ahead. 8 9 0 So was Olivia next to you when you got hip checked? 10 Α She was, yes. 11 Was Olivia next to you when you got pushed by the 0 12 grandmother? 13 Α She was a little bit beside me, behind me. were -- we could have held hands we were so close. 14 15 0 Okav. So did you have occasion to observe Olivia when this occurred? 16 17 Α Yes. 18 And you can't repeat anything she said, of course. 0 19 What, if anything, did you observe about her in -- at that 20 moment? 21 Α She -- her mouth was open. Her eyes looked like she had just been stunned, and she was -- she just had this look 22 23 of surprise on her face.

for the exchange, which type of exchange was it for? Was it

And now, was this -- when you were in Wegmans to meet

24

25

0

for the breastfeeding, or was it upon the conclusion of access 1 2 for the whole day? At the very end, conclusion. 3 When the grandmother came into Wegmans and this 5 occurred, was Katie there, as well? Α 6 No. 7 THE COURT: Can I interrupt for one second? 8 just want to be clear on -- it's quarter to 4:00. We're going 9 to stop at 4:00? I'm assuming Ms. Kratt is going to have to come back. 10 11 MR. MARGULIS: Sorry. Yes. 12 THE COURT: I'm trying to determine if I need to 13 take a break for everybody. If we're only going for 15 14 minutes, I want to make sure my court reporter is okay. MR. MARGULIS: So we're done. 15 THE COURT: If we're done at 4:00, we'll keep 16 17 going right now. If we're not --18 MR. MARGULIS: Yeah. I think everyone agreed 19 with Mr. Cafarella's request. 20 MR. CAFARELLA: That's perfect. 21 THE COURT: Mr. Cervi, 4:00 still okay? That's fine, Judge. 2.2 MR. CERVI: 23 THE COURT: All right. We're just going to go 24 right until 4:00. Okay? 25 MR. MARGULIS: Sure.

1	THE COURT: Thank you.
2	BY MR. MARGULIS:
3	Q So after this occurred at Wegmans, where was Peter?
4	A He and his mom were behind me.
5	Q Inside Wegmans?
6	A Yeah.
7	Q During the visits that you supervised, was it or was
8	it not customary for Peter and the children to say goodbye at
9	the end of the exchange?
10	A It would be I assume it would be customary, but
11	given the players, it was not customary. He rarely had Mason
12	back to say goodbye to him.
13	Q I think we established before that there were many
14	visits where Mason wasn't returned. So let's let me just
15	focus on Olivia then, for the moment. Would it have been
16	customary at the end of the visit for the day for the
17	parties or for Peter and the kids strike that for
18	Peter and Olivia to exchange goodbyes?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Did you have occasion to observe that interaction or
21	interactions?
22	A Yes.
23	Q How would you describe it? What did Peter do? What
24	did Olivia do?
25	A Peter would always ask Olivia if he could have a hug.

He would tell her to have a good week, encourage her in 1 2 He would say, I'll call you during the week, or I'll call you later, or I'll talk to you tonight, because he would 3 call her on his way home on Sundays. 5 You said he asked for a hug. Did you see them 6 actually hug? 7 Sometimes I did. Α 8 0 How about goodbye kisses, either way? 9 Not from Olivia. Α Would Peter kiss Olivia? 10 0 11 Α I don't recall. 12 And how about that day at Wegmans, with respect to the Q 13 occasion you just described; did Peter say goodbye to Olivia? 14 Α There was no chance. Grandma was out the door with Mason, and I followed her and had Olivia with me. 15 Can you describe for Court -- strike that. 16 0 17 Can you tell us, for the Court, some of the examples of the activities that Peter did with the kids during 18 19 the 16 days of visitation that you supervised? Sure. We went to the zoo; Niagara Falls Aquarium; 20 Α 21 several playgrounds; Bounce Magic, on a couple of occasions; a pottery painting place in Clarence; Clarence Hollow for their 2.2 23 Halloween pumpkin festival. There was another pumpkin farm that we went to on Clinton Street. We went to East Aurora. 24

We went to the school where Peter used to go to school, played

25

in the creek down there, played on that playground. 1 2 Were there ever any occasions when you would go out 3 for breakfast or lunch or dinner? We would go to -- yeah. Several times. 5 On those occasions do you remember any specific places 0 you've gone with Peter and the kids? 6 7 We went to Panera's a few times. We went to Α 8 Charlie's, Milton's, Alton's. There was a restaurant we went 9 to twice down by the arena, a natural food store down there, 10 restaurant. 11 And -- I'm sorry. I don't want to cut you off. 12 Α You're okay. 13 Would everybody go in: both kids, Peter, his mom, Q 14 you? 15 Α Yes. 16 Whoever was accompanying Peter for any particular Q 17 occasion would all go in? 18 Α Yes. 19 Without repeating statements of Olivia, did you ever 20 have occasion to observe Olivia ordering food during any of 21 these occasions? 2.2 Α Yes. 23 Did you ever have occasion to observe her eating food 24 during any of these occasions? 25 Α Yes.

Were there any occasions where you all went into a 1 0 2 restaurant when Olivia did not eat food? Α She always ate. She always ate food. 3 No. Would it be typical for her to order for herself or 5 did Peter or somebody else have to order for her? 6 Α No, it was typical for her to order her own food. 7 Peter always asked her what she wanted to eat, and in fact, a 8 lot of the places were dictated where we went based on what 9 Olivia said that she wanted to eat. Peter always took into 10 consideration things Olivia wanted to do, as far as eating and for activities. 11 12 You said on at least one occasion -- you mentioned a 13 pottery place? 14 Α Yes. 15 0 Do you remember the name of it? 16 I don't, no. Α 17 What kind of place is it? 0 It's in Clarence. It's on Main Street. You pick out 18 Α 19 your pottery. You paint it, and they fire it, and you go back 20 later on and pick it up. 21 So you get to keep it? You get to keep it. You just don't leave with it that 2.2 23 day, because it has to be fired. 24 Were there ever any occasions Olivia made or painted 0 25 one of these pottery objects?

She painted two items, but that was 1 Α She did. 2 my -- that was my last visit that I had with them. 3 Do you remember what the two items were? Q One was a mug, and the other item -- I forget what the 4 5 other item was. 6 Q And she painted them? 7 She did. Α 8 And did you go back -- or did Peter go back to get 9 them on those, presumably, two occasions? I'm not sure, because that was my -- that was my last 10 Α 11 weekend that I was supervising them. 12 Oh, I see. Okay. So you -- so the last weekend was 13 when you went to do the painting? 14 Α Yes. 15 0 Okay. 16 Α Yes. 17 How would you characterize Olivia's behavior in 0 18 public? 19 Sometimes it was good. Sometimes it was not so good. Α What do you mean by, not so good? 20 Q 21 Α She wouldn't necessarily listen in -- in a parking lot, her dad would tell her, be careful, she's in a parking 22 23 If they went down to the creek, she wouldn't listen, not 24 get too close. 25 If you were out in, like, a restaurant, were there Q

ever any occasions when she would run around or --1 2 MR. CERVI: Objection to the leading. THE COURT: Sustained. Ask it a different way, 3 Mr. Margulis. 4 BY MR. MARGULIS: 5 6 Was there anything that you concluded to be Q 7 problematic about her behavior when you were inside a 8 restaurant? 9 She would -- like, if she went to use the bathroom, Α 10 she might run back to the bathroom. She didn't necessarily listen all the time, because she was very concerned about 11 12 taking care of Mason, be --13 MR. CERVI: Objection to the speculation, Your 14 Honor. THE COURT: 15 Sustained. BY MR. MARGULIS: 16 17 On the occasions when you would meet midday to 0 exchange the baby for nursing, did you notice anything about 18 19 Olivia's demeanor after the exchange with Katie, of the baby? Just that it took her a little bit to get on track, 20 Α 21 for her behavior and her involvement into the supervised visit herself. 2.2 23 Did you ever have occasion to -- strike that. 24 Were there ever -- during those 16 visits -- or 25 16 days, other than Katie's mother, were there ever any

occasions when any other members of her family were present? 1 2 Her brother was present. Do you remember when and -- well, do you remember 3 0 when? 4 5 Α I believe it was at a visit in September. 6 Q And did you meet him? 7 I did not. Α 8 So when you say he was present, was he in the same 9 vehicle as Katie or in a different vehicle? He was in the passenger seat of the van that she would 10 11 drive the kids in. 12 And was he present during the exchange of the 13 children? 14 On one occasion, at both times, and pick up. Α And on any of those occasions did anything occur that 15 0 16 gave you cause for concern relative to Katie's brother? 17 At the end of a visit, for dropping off Mason, he Α 18 laughed out loud as Katie drove away. 19 Anything else that you recall? Q Not that I recall. 20 Α 21 During the times when you were in the car with Peter, did you ever have occasion to observe him driving over the 2.2 23 speed limit? 24 Α Never. 25 Were there ever any occasions when he got pulled over Q

by the police? 1 2 Α No. Without repeating the statements of the child, were 3 0 there ever any occasions when you observed Olivia and Peter 4 5 having any kind of conversation about money? Yes, I recall. 6 Α 7 And what, if any, conclusions, had you drawn from that 8 conversation? 9 MR. CERVI: Objection, Your Honor. First off, 10 to relevance. Secondly, to a conclusion about a conversation 11 about money. 12 THE COURT: I'm going to sustain that. 13 MR. MARGULIS: Okay. 14 BY MR. MARGULIS: 15 During the access periods, most of which occurred with 16 Mason before the exchanges, how would you characterize Mason's 17 demeanor toward Peter during those visits? I don't think that Mason misbehaved. He was with his 18 Α 19 He's only one year old, so I don't know -- I don't know how to answer that question. I don't think he was afraid of 20 21 his father at all. Did Peter ever do anything relative to Mason that 2.2 23 caused you to be concerned for Mason's well being? 24 Α No, never. 25 Were there ever any times that Mason would eat? Q That

Î		
1	Peter w	ould feed him food?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	And did he eat?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Were there any times that the baby would sleep while
6	with Pe	ter?
7	A	Yes. Yup.
8	Q	Were there ever any times were there ever any
9	occasio	ns when Mason seemed fussy?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	And did you have occasion to see if Peter consoled
12	him?	
13	A	He did.
14	Q	And based on your observations, did it appear that he
15	was, in	fact, able to console the child?
16	A	Yes.
17		THE COURT: Is it a good time to break?
18		MR. MARGULIS: It's a great time to break.
19		THE COURT: Okay.
20		MR. CAFARELLA: Thank you, Judge.
21		THE COURT: You're welcome.
22		MR. CAFARELLA: Thanks, Counselors.
23		THE COURT: 11/26 at 1:30, I think is the next
24	time.	
25		THE CLERK: Correct.

1	THE COURT: Okay. So we'll see you back here
2	November 26th.
3	MR. MARGULIS: I'll be submitting an order
4	before that.
5	THE COURT: Right. An order for the
6	MR. MARGULIS: Subpoenas.
7	THE COURT: subpoenas, to be limited to just
8	between mother's and father's cell phone numbers.
9	MR. MARGULIS: Right. And I have to
10	amend can we go off the record or
11	THE COURT: I want that on the record.
12	MR. MARGULIS: Okay. And I think I was going to
13	amend the subpoena for the police, because Mr. Cervi wanted me
14	to add a name, and if he could get me the full name, that
15	would be I think you gave me the last name, only.
16	THE COURT: It was a specific police officer,
17	correct?
18	MR. MARGULIS: Right.
19	THE COURT: I'm going to have an amended
20	MR. MARGULIS: Judicial subpoena?
21	THE COURT: Yeah.
22	MR. MARGULIS: Actually, for clarification, is
23	Mr. Cervi expected to amend the Verizon subpoena?
24	THE COURT: It's his subpoena. I
25	MR. MARGULIS: Would

1	THE COURT: I was asking you, because you were
2	concerned
3	MR. MARGULIS: Right.
4	THE COURT: about Verizon having it and
5	acting upon it, so I was offering you the ability to do it.
6	MR. MARGULIS: Fine. Sure.
7	THE COURT: So he's
8	MR. MARGULIS: Make sure he's aware of that.
9	THE COURT: Mr. Cervi, are you does that make
10	sense to you? Do you have any problem with Mr. Margulis
11	submitting the subpoena for both the Verizon records and the
12	Cheektowaga Police records?
13	MR. CERVI: Not at all, Judge.
14	THE COURT: Okay. And then with respect to
15	the what's the other thing we're submitting?
16	MR. CAFARELLA: The modification of the access
17	order with regards to the dates and times or the specific
18	days and times
19	MR. MARGULIS: Right.
20	THE COURT: Oh
21	MR. CAFARELLA: of access.
22	THE COURT: the temporary order. Do you want
23	me to just do that myself?
24	MR. MARGULIS: Up to you.
25	MR. CERVI: Sure, Judge.

1	MR. MARGULIS: Sure.
2	THE COURT: I'll do the order that changes the
3	access timeframes and the pick up and drop off at the
4	Staybridge. Okay?
5	MR. CAFARELLA: Thank you, Judge.
6	THE COURT: All right. I think we're all set.
7	MR. CERVI: Judge, the only thing, further, the
8	access for this weekend, I just just to try to make
9	something happen, Judge, because she does have plans to go to
10	Ohio, I asked my client, can he have access tonight. I know
11	it's 4:00. There's still time, four or five hours tonight.
12	THE COURT: When is she leaving for Ohio?
13	MR. CERVI: Tomorrow. She can push it back to
14	12:00 or something and just to try to
15	THE COURT: Can we swap weekends?
16	MR. MARGULIS: Sure, next weekend?
17	PETER MARK DIARBAKERLY: It's the
18	THE COURT: I
19	MR. MARGULIS: Right, the weekend after
20	Thanksgiving.
21	MR. CERVI: All right.
22	THE COURT: So this weekend was supposed to be
23	dad, which was supposed to be this Saturday and Sunday, the
24	24th and 25th.
25	MR. MARGULIS: Right.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Mom is going out of out
2	of
3	MR. CERVI: I'm sorry, 17th and 18th, Judge.
4	THE COURT: Where am I? Off the record.
5	(Discussion held off the record.)
6	(Mr. Cafarella exits the courtroom.)
7	THE COURT: Dad is not going to have access the
8	17th and 18th of November. November 22nd and I'm sorry,
9	November 24th and 25th, father shall have access, and then
10	December 1st and 2nd, father will not have access. December
11	8th and 9th, 15th and 16th, father shall have access, and
12	you're back on to your regular weekends.
13	And I'm sorry. Ms. Kratt, you can step down.
14	THE WITNESS: That's all right.
15	MR. MARGULIS: We're good.
16	THE COURT: All right. See you on the 26th and
17	the 6th, actually. Okay.
18	(Proceedings concluded at 4:13 p.m.)
19	
20	I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate
21	transcription of the proceeding.
22	
23	OND VOTE
24	ASHLEY KLEINSCHMIDT, NYACR, NYRCR
25	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER